UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,520	10/07/2005	Vincent Granier	RN03041	2571
Rhodia Inc.	7590 06/09/200		EXAMINER	
Legal Departme	ent	NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS		
CN 7500 8 CEDAR BROOK DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CRANBURY, I	CRANBURY, NJ 08512			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/552,520	GRANIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick D. Niland	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ma	av 2008 and 11 February 2008.					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-21</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	аны Аррисаноп					

Application/Control Number: 10/552,520 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/12/08 has been entered.

The amendment of 2/11/08 and 5/12/08 has been entered. Claims 10-21 are pending.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A. It is unclear what the units of the value of claim 11 are to be since they are not specified.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 97/31960 Navavi et al. as translated by US Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2003/0158328 Nabavi et al..

Nabavi discloses compositions, which adhere to substrates and are therefore "adhesive", made by adding the instantly claimed component b to polyisocyanates falling within the scope of those Application/Control Number: 10/552,520 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

of the instant claims at the abstract; sections [0010], [0022], [0026], [0029]-[0059], particularly [0050]-[0059] which encompasses the instantly claimed component b, [0068] which falls within the scope of the instant claim 12, [0108]-[0127], particularly [0109]-[0111], which falls within the scope of the instant claims 11, 14, 15, and 17; and the remainder of the document, particularly the examples of sections [0167]-[0228]. It would appear that the polyisocyanates of the examples have the limitations of the instant claims 14-17 inherently. The newly presented claim limitations of claims 19-21 are encompassed by these sections also. The applicant's arguments regarding Nabavi's silence on the application of their compositions to bond wood or elastomer, these limitations are not required of the examined claims which are methods of making the composition and the composition. Regarding the prior art not disclosing "the fact that the composition must present the following features to be used as a bonding composition...", the instant claims are directed to compositions and the methods of making them. The prior art encompasses the claimed NCO content and viscosities as addressed above. The intended use does not distinguish over the prior art methods and compositions disclosed because the prior art uses the instantly claimed method steps and ingredients and amounts thereof. It is also not seen that the compositions of the prior art cannot bond wood or elastomer. The processes and compositions of the prior art therefore fall within the scope of the instantly claimed compositions and processes of making since they otherwise are composed of the instantly claimed steps and ingredients. "Adhesive" references a future intended use which does not distinguish the instant claims over the methods and compositions of the cited prior art for the reasons given above. As stated above and as is readily clear, paints and varnishes adhere to substrates and are therefore "adhesive". The applicant's arguments in this regard are not

Application/Control Number: 10/552,520 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

persuasive. Furthermore, the composition of the cited prior art and method of making it are not distinguished from the instant claims by the instantly claimed recitations of "adhesive" or similar language because the compositions and methods of the cited prior art have the instantly claimed ingredients and employ the instantly claimed method steps. The resulting product will therefore necessarily and inherently be able to adhere some substrate to another, were "adhesive" defined to require this in the instant claims. The examiner maintains that this is not the case in any event and the adhesion of the paints and varnishes meets the instantly claimed recitation of "adhesive". The applicant's arguments have been fully considered, including those of 2/11/08, but are not persuasive for the above reasons. This rejection is therefore maintained.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 10 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/552,520

Art Unit: 1796

/Patrick D Niland/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796 Page 5